FEB 2 2 2006

Certification for a

Certification for a Public Disclosure Commission Complaint to the Washington State Public Disclosure Commission Relating to an Elected Official or Candidate for Public Office (Notary Not Required)

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington

that the facts set forth in this attached complaint are true and correct. Your signature: Your printed name: City, state and zip code: Telephone number: E-Mail Address: (Optional)

*RCW 9A.72.040 provides that: "(1) A person is guilty of false swearing if he makes a false statement, which he knows to be false, under an oath required or authorized by law. (2) False swearing is a misdemeanor."

COMPLAINT ATTACHED

Place Signed (City and County):

In 2003, Pam Roach ran for King County Council and lost in the primary. She 2 2 2006 was subject to the mandatory reporting requirements for campaign contributions. Ms. Roach committed numerous violations of the law, in two categories.

A. UNTIMELY REPORTING.

On June 22, 2005, two years after the race, Pam Roach filed C-3 reports disclosing over \$10,000.00 in campaign contributions she received over two years ago. Exhibit A. These contributions were made between June 5, 2003 and December 12, 2003.

Those contributions were required to be reported monthly for the June 2003 donations, and then weekly. Yet, Ms. Roach waited two years to report over ten thousand dollars in political money.

Ms. Roach has a history of failing to comply with the law. On May 20, 2003, the Public Disclosure Commission found that she did not timely report contributions in her State Senate race in 2002. The PDC told Ms. Roach, "Although no further enforcement action is being taken, I caution you to be mindful of reporting deadlines and the need to account for all campaign expenditures. Please work to ensure that you file timely in future campaigns." Exhibit B.

Therefore, just a month after Ms. Roach was admonished to be timely in her filings, she failed to report thousands of dollars in contributions, and then waited two years to do so. Ms. Roach has been given the benefit of the doubt by the Public Disclosure Commission in the past. Now she flagrantly thumbs her nose at the law by hiding thousands of dollars in contributions for two years.

B. ILLEGAL DONATIONS.

According to the C-3s Ms. Roach filed in June and October 2005 (two years late), Ms. Roach accepted over ten thousand dollars in general election contributions which she was not entitled to have. The primary was on September 16, 2003. Ms. Roach had 30 days to solicit contributions to satisfy any primary debt. However, not only did she solicit general election money, she solicited this money well beyond the thirty-day limit, which would have been October 16, 2003. For example, there are 11 contributions totaling \$4,350.00 received well after the thirty-day deadline.

These contributions were illegal because (1) they were for the general election when Ms. Roach lost the primary, and therefore the contributions were not allowed; (2) they were collected more than thirty days after the primary; and (3) there is no C-4 filed that showed any debt to which the funds could be attributed. A C-4 filed on October 11, 2003 shows a \$16,000.00 surplus. This means Ms. Roach could not accept additional funds, even for the primary.

This misuse of funds and acceptance of illegal contributions by a seasoned FEB 2 2 2006 politician like Ms. Roach, who not only has been in the Legislature for, at the time, thirteen years, but had previously run in numerous other races, is clearly intentional and osure Commission inexcusable.

I request a full investigation and appropriate sanctions against Ms. Roach.